SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3672 / 2006

PETITIONER

Smt. Amrika Bai Sahu,
W/o Late Vijay Ram Sahu,
Aged about 40 years,
R/o Sonarpara, Rajnanagaon, C.G.
Presently working as Chowkidar,
World Food Godown, Forest Office
Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon,
Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 33906 Presented by Shri 3 K Gupta dated 6/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of General
Administration, D.K.S.
Bhawan Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED-COPY
CLE ... BILASPUR
6/7/06

2. Chief Conservator of Forest,
Government of Chhattsigarh,
Jail Road, Raipur, C.G

COMMISSIONER S.R. Kaushik BILASPUR TAHSIL 5/7/06

3. Additional Chief Conservator of
Forest, (Adm./Non-Gazetted)
Raipur, C.G.

4. Conservator of forest,
Durg Circle, District Durg, C.G.

(37)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3672/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24.7.2006 Mr. Satyendra Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner. Miss Deepali Pandey, P.L. for the State on advance copy. Heard. The main grievance of the petitioner, who is working as Go-Down Chowkidar in World Food Godown, Forest Office Rajnandgaon on adhoc basis, is that as per policy of the Government the employees who had been killed in naxallite activities, their dependents shall be entitled for regular appointment and in pursuance of that vide order dated 24.9.1994 (Annexure P-2) 12 appointments were made and the petitioner has been appointed on adhoc basis, whereas other 11 appointments were made on regular basis and as such, the petitioner was not given similar treatment as given to the other persons. The petitioner made several representations in this regard, but respondent No.3 is not decided the same. In the circumstances learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even though the petitioner has already made representations but they are not being decided, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.3 and in turn, respondent No.3 be directed to decide the representation of petitioner in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.	

P.T.O.

(38)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.3672/06..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all her grievances to respondent No.3 within 10 days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.3 to decide the representation of petitioner within 60 days from the date of receipt of representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan/-

P.T.O.